IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. 2014-CF-005586CFAXWS

STATE OF FLORIDA,

:

-VS-

•

ADAM MATOS,

:

Defendant:

Plaintiff,

PROCEEDINGS: EXCERPT - NOEL PALMA, M.D.

BEFORE: HONORABLE MARY HANDSEL

Circuit Judge

DATE: November 7, 2017

PLACE TAKEN: Pasco County Government Center

7530 Little Road

New Port Richey, FL 34654

REPORTED BY: Maria A. Fortner, RPR

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large

Administrative Office of the Courts
Court Reporting Department
West Pasco Judicial Center
7530 Little Road
New Port Richey, FL 34654
Tel. (727) 847-8156 Fax: (727)847-8159

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	
5	CHRISTOPHER LABRUZZO, Assistant State Attorney BRYAN SARABIA, Assistant State Attorney JOSEPH LAWHORNE, Assistant State Attorney
6	Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pasco County
7	7530 Little Road New Port Richey, Fl 34655
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
9	THE DEFENDANT, ADAM MATOS:
10	DEAN LIVERMORE, Assistant Public Defender EULOGIO VIZCARRA, Assistant Public Defender
11	NICHOLAS MICHAILOS, Assistant Public Defender WILLIAM PURA, Assistant Public Defender
12	Office of Bob Dillinger, Public Defender Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pasco County
13	7530 Little Road New Port Richey, Fl 34655
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S (Portions of these proceeding were transcribed but not 2 3 requested as part of this transcript.) 4 NOEL PALMA, M.D., 5 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, was examined 6 and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LABRUZZO: 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 10 THE COURT: All right. If you can have a seat 11 in the witness stand. Speak in a loud and clear 12 voice for me. 13 State, you may proceed. 14 MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Good afternoon, sir. Q. Could 16 you please turn the ladies and gentlemen of the jury and 17 introduce yourself by stating your name. 18 Good afternoon. My name is Noel Palma, 19 N-o-e-1, P-a-1-m-a. 20 And, Dr. Palma, where do you work? Q. 21 I'm one of the associate medical examiners at Α. 22 the District Six Medical Examiner's Office serving 23 Pinellas and Pasco Counties. 24 All right. Let's talk just about briefly Q. 25 about the District Six Medical Examiner's Office. Where ||is it located?

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 2 A. In Largo.
 - Q. And you said it serves both Pinellas and Pasco County?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are there specific duties that the Medical Examiner's Office provides to those counties?
 - A. Yes. Our main job is basically to determine the cause and manner of death.
 - Q. All right. And we'll talk more specifically about cause and manner of death. But to do that, do you perform autopsies?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And is the procedure in which you follow to do an autopsy, is that outlined in Florida statute?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. And which Florida statute is that?
- 19 A. It's Chapter 406.
- Q. All right. And that kind of lays out the duties that you and your fellow medical examiners must follow?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. What type of cases go to the Medical Examiner's Office generally?

1	A. Basically we are doing most cases are the
2	medicolegal cases, and that involve any kind of
3	accidental deaths, homicidal deaths, or any kind of
4	violent deaths.
5	Q. How about is there a death that seems to be
6	unexplained?
7	A. Yes, sir. Yes.
8	Q. All right. And I'll just bring that up,
9	because to compare that with a death that is explained,
10	someone dies in a hospital, either natural causes or of
11	a known illness, do those cases go to the Medical
12	Examiner's Office?
13	A. For natural diseases, no.
14	Q. Okay. So it's more limited, the people or the
15	bodies that you see?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. To work at the District Six
18	Medical Examiner's Office, do you have to have a certain
19	educational background?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. First let's start with your educational

background and then we'll get into your certifications.

that allows you to be a medical examiner?

So could you explain to this jury your background

All right. I obtained my medical degree in

22

23

24

25

A.

the Philippines. I came over and took -- including boards, right?

Q. Yes.

A. Okay. I came over and took the USMLE, these are United States Medical Licensing Examination Boards. These are three examinations given to all medical graduates in order to be accepted in a residency program.

You want me to go all the way through?

- Q. Sure.
- A. Okay. After that I applied at St. John's Medical Center in Detroit, Michigan, in the field of anatomic and clinical pathology.

After that I took and passed the boards given by the American Board of Pathology specializing in both anatomic pathology and clinical pathology.

I then opted for a subspecialized training in forensic pathology at the Wayne County Medical Examiner's Office, this is in Detroit, Michigan.

And after my training I took and passed my boards given by the American Board of Pathology subspecializing in forensic pathology.

And after that I got my first job in Palm Beach

County, District 15 Medical Examiner's Office. I stayed

there for several years, and then came over here in

1 2001, and been here for a while.

- Q. Okay. And I guess in that list of background, you have obtained a license to be a medical doctor?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you a medical doctor in the state of Florida?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Any other states?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Which states?
- 11 A. Michigan, Tennessee, and Alabama.
 - Q. Okay. And during the time period for the things you're going to testify in this case, you were a licensed physician in the state of Florida?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. You threw out a bunch of terms.

 I'd like to kind of go back and kind of explain some of those terms, what those terms are, and how they relate.
 - Okay. So first you mentioned that you are board certified in anatomical and clinical pathology. Let's first start by talking about what is pathology, and then if you could explain anatomical and then clinical pathology as a subsection.
 - A. All right. So basically pathology is a branch of medicine. So you have pathology, you have internal

medicine, you have family practice, pediatrics, and others.

So pathology is a branch of medicine that deals with diseases. Now, pathology is subdivided into two major groups. We have the anatomic pathology and we have the clinical pathology.

Anatomic pathology is basically again subdivided into smaller subspecialties, including forensic pathology, neuropathology and others. While clinical pathology is subdivided into multiple subspecialties, including blood banking, hematopathologies and others.

So in general pathologists, aside from forensic pathologists, they generally work in hospitals.

- Q. Okay. Can you just describe what is anatomical pathology?
- A. All right. So basically anatomic pathology or the pathologists who are working in that department, they do your biopsies, they read your Pap smears and others. While the clinical pathology, they are the doctors in the hospital manning the labs.
- Q. All right. And you mentioned that you were board certified in each anatomical and clinical?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you just describe what the process is to become board certified in those specialties?

A. So basically you have to finish your
residency, usually between a four and five years
program. And after that you have to take the boards or
examination given by the American Board of Pathology.

So if you pass that, you'll be certified in, say, anatomic pathology and clinical pathology.

- Q. All right. And is there a certain course requirement, ongoing education, to make sure that you are up-to-date as it relates to those fields of study? Do you do certain ongoing education with those?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And are you up-to-date with those?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. In that description you were discussing some, I guess, further subspecialties in the world of pathology and you mentioned one, forensic pathology. Could you describe what forensic pathology is?
- A. So basically forensic pathology is a subspecialty under the anatomic pathology division, and forensic pathology deals with medicolegal cases. And you can be a forensic pathologist after subspecialized training in forensic pathology.

And then you are going to take the boards and pass the boards. And here in Florida we work as medical examiners, and in Florida the medical examiners are forensic pathologists. Not in other states.

- Q. Okay. So I guess in that answer you kind of told us that you are board certified in forensic pathology?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you've kept current on all the educational requirements related to being board certified?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You mentioned the word or the term, I should say, a "medicolegal case" when you were describing the world of forensic pathology.

What does that mean in your world, a medicolegal case?

- A. So basically those are cases in which you have an accidental death or a homicidal deaths in nature or any kind of suspicious deaths.
- Q. All right. You, in your initial discussion about what the Medical Examiner's Office does, you mentioned that an overriding I'll just call it goal or thing that the medical examiner has to do is to determine both the cause and manner of death?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And we'll get into what those mean in just a second, but when a medical examiner takes on a

case, the purpose of the examination is to come to those findings; is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. So in every case that you do, to the best of your ability, you try to determine what the cause of death would be and then what the manner of death would be?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Let's first talk about the cause of death.
- A. Okay. Basically the cause of death is what makes the person basically die. So a typical example will be a gunshot wound to the head or a multi-drug toxicity or a myocardial infarct or stroke or cancer.
- Q. Okay. So the cause of death could be many different things?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It really depends on the case and the injuries sustained by the person, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And then you compare that to the manner of death. What is the manner of death?
- A. So basically the manner of death is the circumstances surrounding the death of the decedent, and in corroboration with the autopsy findings, the

toxicology, and other ancillary testing. 1 All right. Are there specific manners of 2 3 death that relate to the state of Florida? Α. Yes. And there are five. 5 All right. Could you describe what those five Q. 6 are for the jury? 7 All right. So basically we have manner of Α. 8 death of natural, when somebody died of natural causes 9 like myocardial infarct. 10 And accidental deaths, the typical example I can 11 give you is traffic-related deaths or drug toxicity 12 deaths. 13 And we have homicidal in nature when somebody is 14 killed by somebody. 15 Doctor, I want to stop you for one second. Q. 16 You're just kind of rubbing up against. 17 Α. I'm sorry. No. We appreciate you talking into the 18 19 microphone. I think it was rubbing up -- there you go. 20 A. Okay. 21 So you were talking about the manner of death. Q.

We talked about natural and you talked about accidental.

Homicide, when somebody is killed by somebody.

I think you were on the third one.

We don't mean murder. It's a legal term.

22

23

24

25

Α.

- 1 Q. All right. And what does that term mean? 2 For us is when somebody is killed by somebody, 3 it's a homicide. 4 Q. All right. As compared to a suicide? 5 A suicide is when somebody killed themselves Α. 6 like hanging. 7 All right. Is there a manner of death that 8 would describe -- let's say for example, you come across 9 skeletal remains, a straight skeleton, and you can tell 10 what happened, is there something that they can describe 11 that?
 - A. Yes, sir. And it's undetermined manner of death.
 - Q. All right. So if you can't figure it out, it becomes an undetermined?
 - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And these manner of deaths, these five, they are reported as part of statistical findings for the state of Florida, correct?
 - A. Correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. I'd now kind of like to focus a little bit more on what you do on a day-to-day basis. All right?

You described for this jury that you, I guess, perform autopsies, correct?

- Yes. 1 Α. Correct. 2 And in your career as a medical examiner, how 3 many autopsies do you think you have performed? I would say 3,500, 4500, or even 5,000 more 4 Α. cases. 5 6 Okay. You don't keep an exact count? Q. 7 Α. No. 8 But it's something you do on a regular basis? Q. 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And when you perform an autopsy, photographs 11 are taken? 12 Α. Yes. 13 You prepare written findings? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 And those are reported to the local agencies; Q. 16 is that correct? **17** Α. Correct. And we do X-rays and toxicology 18 also. 19 Yes. Thank you, Doctor. Q. 20 As part of this autopsy procedure, are each autopsy 21 that you perform, are they given a unique case number, 22 unique to the person and to the case for which you're
- 24 A. Yes. Our Medical Examiner case number.

dealing with?

25 Q. Okay. And if pictures are taken, you guys

would use scales and stickers to identify this is a picture coming from this case number, correct?

A. Correct. Yes.

- Q. In addition to your investigation, when you're going to perform an autopsy, does the District Six Medical Examiner's Office employ investigators that may go to the scene or meet with other witnesses and collect information to help you in determining what happened?
- A. Yes, sir. We have investigators helping us out, answering the phone and going to the scenes.
- Q. All right. When you come to prepare a report and ultimately determine the cause of death and the manner of death, it's really you have to collect and hear as much evidence as you can; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. It's not just the body?
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. I'd like to take a moment to go through the procedure that you would go through in performing an autopsy.
 - Let's just say, you know, not specific to this case, but a body is presented to you, can you describe for the jury what steps you would go through to perform an autopsy?
 - A. Okay. So basically when our investigator get

a call and it's deemed it's a medical examiner case, we accept the case or we send a transport or it's from the hospital.

We bring the bodies to our office and we get the height, the weight, they have the case number. And then we do an external examination, getting the color of the hair, color of the eyes, or any kind of findings on the body, looking for scars, tattoos, any kind of abnormalities or any kind of injuries.

And that is followed by -- it depends on the case, followed by X-rays. If it's a homicide, look for whatever findings inside the body. And then we do an internal examination by dissecting each organ looking for any kind of pathology or following the wound tracks.

- Q. Okay. So as part of this internal examination, that involves looking inside the body?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That involved removing skin if it's there, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Examining bone?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Depending upon the case.
- 25 Q. Right. All right. And let's kind of move a

1 little bit, let's move into what you did in this case.

Were you advised through your investigators that there was a medical examiner's case in Pasco County?

- A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right. And this would have been on September the 4th of 2014?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. You mentioned in your description that if it's at the hospital or at a scene, sometimes they will transport that person to your office for the purpose of an examination?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In this case did you actually go out to the scene?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And why is that?
- 17 A. I was requested by our investigator to go to 18 the scene.
 - Q. Okay. So you actually went to the scene?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And when we're talking about the scene, just so that we're clear, we're talking about a wooded area in Pasco County?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And in that area were you shown a

- 1 pile of bodies?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Did you guys take particular note as to the location of the bodies in the pile?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

14

- Q. When you arrived on the scene, could you smell anything abnormal?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Can you describe that smell?
- 10 A. Decomposing human bodies.
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So you're familiar with that smell?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Is there any mistaking that with maybe some other type of smell?
 - A. No. Negative.
- Q. All right. When you arrived on scene on
 September the 4th, how quickly did you come to recognize that smell?
- A. Oh, you know when you smell it, you know it's a dead human body.
- Q. All right. Can you describe for this jury the strength of that smell?

- 1 A. Oh, boy.
- Q. Well, I'll give you a scale. Was it mild?
 Was it faint? Was it moderate? Was it strong?
 - A. Are we talking about at the scene?
 - Q. At the scene.
 - A. Oh, it's bad.
- 7 | Q. Okay.

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. There were four bodies at the scene.
 - Q. All right. And as part of your examination, is it important to know, I guess, the order in which the bodies were found?
- 12 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you guys take particular note as to where they're at?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I think another witness previously described that the bodies of the deceased are, I guess, the medical examiner's concern; but all the other evidence, clothing, items, that's for the investigating agency; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. And as part of your investigation, did you guys, I guess, remove each body one by one?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- 25 Q. And did you photograph them?

- 1 A. Yes. Did you take particular note if there was 2 3 anything on the body that might aid you in your 4 analysis? 5 Α. Correct. Yes. 6 All right. And then the bodies are removed 7 with their clothing and then transported back to the Medical Examiner's Office? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Let's talk about the condition of the bodies 11 that are on scene. All right? 12 We've discussed the smell, and just so we're clear, the bodies we saw or you saw were deceased human bodies? 13 14 Α. Correct. Yes. 15 All right. And they were in a state of 16 decomposition? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And because they were out in the open in the 19 woods, was there something of particular note as to the 20 way they looked? 21 Α. There was an extensive maggot infestation of the four bodies. 22 23 All right. Can that affect the way a medical
 - A. Yes. In fact, it makes the injuries difficult

examiner performs an autopsy?

24

to tell whether they are real injuries or they are artifacts.

- Q. All right. And you say an artifact, meaning something left behind by either an animal or the maggots, correct?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right. Can the fact that a body is left just out into the elements, we're talking about September, Florida, Pasco County, weather can be hot, it can be rainy, can those things affect the state of decomposition as it relates to the body?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And how can it affect it?
- A. It makes faster to decompose in that time.
- Q. Does the fact that the manner in which the bodies were stacked, can that affect the way --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. How could that affect?
- A. Well, it depends upon the location of the body in the stack of bodies.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. The ones outside, they are more likely to be decomposed because they will be attracted to -- I mean the maggots will be there that were inside of the bodies.

- Q. Okay. So what I hear you saying, the fact that if one is on top of the other, the side that's exposed could have a greater sense of decomposition or maggots as opposed to an area of a body or another person that's not?
 - A. Yes. That's inside.
 - Q. All right. And based on your level of experience, how would you describe the stage in which the decomposition was for these bodies on scene?
 - A. They are from moderate to advance stage of decomposition.
 - Q. All right. So depending on where the body is, it could change in the decomposition, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And we'll get to some photographs in a second, but did you remove the bodies and give them a particular number which is now associated with the Medical Examiner's number and later identified to a person?
 - A. Yes, sir. We did.
- Q. All right. So let's talk about the way you found them. Who did you find on top?
- A. That was Case Number 5141244, and that's Leonard Nicholas.
- Q. Okay. And then how were they placed after that? Do you recall?

- 1 Α. The next case number? 2 Q. Yes. 3 That will be Case Number 5141245, and that's Α. 4 Megan Brown. 5 Okay. Q. 6 Α. Followed by 5141246, Brown, Margaret. 7 Q. All right. 8 Α. And the last case was 5141247, that's Brown, 9 Gregory. 10 Q. All right. Just so for the sake that we're 11 going to be speaking the same language, if we're going 12 to refer to the Medical Examiner's number, the last two 13 numbers are the only numbers that really change, so we 14 can go 45, 46. You follow me? 15 Α. Okay. 16 All right. Let's talk about injuries on scene 17 noticed specifically to Margaret Brown. Okay? I'd like 18 to talk about her on scene first. Okay? 19 Α. Okay. 20 Were there particular things of note as it Q. 21 relates to Margaret Brown's body that you guys noticed?
- Q. Yes, sir.

 A. There were two plastic bags around the head
 all the way to the neck. There were two ligature

Are you talking about the plastic bags?

22

Α.

- marks -- I'm sorry. There were two ligatures around the neck tied very tightly, and there was a duct tape around the neck all the way to the chin covering the mouth.
 - Q. Okay. Can you describe for the jury what you mean by ligature marks?
 - A. Ligatures marks are marks on the skin left by the ligatures.
 - Q. All right. So if someone had their hands tied, or tied around their arms, their neck or their body, if it's tight enough, it would leave a ligature mark?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. All right. Doctor, I'm going to show you what's been introduced already as State's Exhibit 272 and State's Exhibit 45. Okay?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you recognize those photographs?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Do these photographs show the bodies as you remember them?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And you had pulled the bodies apart to bring them back to the Medical Examiner's Office?
- 25 A. That's correct, yes.

- 1 0. All right. And so we're talking about State's 2 Exhibit next what's been introduced as 284. Do you 3 recognize that photograph? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 All right. And do you see the items in this 6 photograph which relate to the ligature marks and the 7 plastic bags? 8 Α. The plastic bags, yes; and the ligature around 9 the wrists. 10 Q. All right. And that's caused by a brown cord 11 and it looks like there's some zip ties? 12 Α. Correct. Yes. 13 All right. Thank you, Doctor. Q. 14 Α. Thank you. 15 I'm going to put these over here because we'll Q. 16 come back to them in a minute. 17 MR. LABRUZZO: One moment, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, Q. 20 ultimately the four bodies that were found in Pasco 21 County were brought to Largo for the purposes of doing
- 23 A. Correct.

an examination, correct?

22

24

25

Q. I would now like to get into the specific casework you did with each one of these cases.

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. We'll go one by one. Okay?
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. And we'll go numerically based on the cases
5	they did. So first I would like to start with 44, the
6	person of Nicholas Leonard. Okay?
7	A. Okay.
8	MR. LABRUZZO: For the record, Your Honor,
9	I've previously shown Defense Counsel what's been
10	marked for identification as State's 403 through
11	415.
12	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Dr. Palma, take a minute,
13	flip through all these photographs, and let me know when
14	you're done.
15	All right. Dr. Palma, having looked at those
16	photographs, do you recognize the photographs and
17	diagrams in this?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Are these photographs taken at the Medical
20	Examiner's Office of Nicholas Leonard?
21	l <u> </u>
	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes. MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, at this
22 23	
	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, at this

THE COURT: Defense? 1 MR. VISCARA: Same objections, Judge, 404 and 2 3 412. THE COURT: All right. I have overruled the 5 objection on Number 404 and 412. 6 The rest you're okay with, with no objection, 7 correct? 8 MR. VISCARA: Correct. 9 THE COURT: All right. So 403 to 415 will be 10 admitted over the objection on 404 and 412. 11 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, I'd ask 12 Dr. Palma be allowed to step down? 13 THE COURT: He may. 14 MR. LABRUZZO: I'm just going to ask that you 15 watch a couple of the cords. Bring whatever you 16 need as it relates to Mr. Leonard. I'm going to 17 remind you that we're going to be away from the 18 microphone, so you need to speak in a loud and 19 clear voice so that we can --20 THE COURT: We might also work on a little 21 slower. 22 MR. LABRUZZO: Slower too. 23 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 24 That's okay. We're used to it, THE COURT: 25 but you get you two together and it gets really

1 fast. Can I have Dr. Palma stand on the other side 2 3 so he's next to the microphone. MR. LABRUZZO: Sure. 5 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Dr. Palma, come over here, Q. 6 this way you're not going to step over the cords. 7 THE COURT: The mike is on the other side and 8 it helps everybody hear you better. 9 MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. 10 THE COURT: There you go. 11 So if you need to step out in MR. LABRUZZO: 12 front of to see the photographers, it will be 13 helpful for you, I imagine. No, it doesn't move. 14 MR. VISCARA: Can I stand over here? 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, we're 17 going to show you what's been introduced as 403, and I'm 18 going to zoom in just a little bit. 19 Okay. You can hardly see, right? 20 Right. Α. 21 Okay. So why don't you step out in front so Q. 22 we can just kind of see. 23 The angle is kind of tough from MR. LABRUZZO: 24 that angle, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. As long as he

1 keeps his voice up, I'm fine. MR. LABRUZZO: And slow. 2 3 THE COURT: Slower. 4 Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Doctor, we're talking here 5 about a diagram that was prepared in your office; is 6 that correct? 7 Α. That's correct. All right. What's the purpose of preparing a 8 Q. 9 diagram? 10 Α. To make it easier for the public to read the 11 report. 12 Okay. Ultimately when you were looking at the Q. 13 body of Mr. Leonard, was it obvious from an external 14 examination that he had certain injuries? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Can you describe those injuries to the jury? 17 Α. Basically it's extensive injuries to the head. 18 There were at least 21 separate blows to the head and 19 face region. Can I? 20 Q. Sure. 21 Α. Okay. As you can see from the front of the 22 face, you have a gaping laceration or open fracture to 23 the right forehead just above the right eyebrow. 24 There were five separate blows to the top of the

head causing extensive skull fractures. There were four

blows, separate blows to the right side of the head, and 2 there were nine separate blows to the left side of the 3 head. 4 Q. All right. 5 Α. You can see here. There is also a gaping 6 incised wound to the left side of the jaw region. 7 All right. And we're going to start at the 8 top and work our way down the body. Okay? 9 Now, the evidence of these injuries was readily 10 apparent upon loo king at them, correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 All right. You talked about fractures. Q. 13 Ultimately, as part of your examination, you look at the 14 visual injuries, remove skin so you can confirm the 15 fractures, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And when you did that, when you peeled into Q. 18 and looked at the skull, was there corresponding 19 fractures as related to these injuries? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. All right. 22 MR. LABRUZZO: For the record, Your Honor, 23 we're going to be talking about State's 24 Exhibit 404. Can you zoom it out a little bit.

(By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, we

25

Q.

talked about the scales at the bottom, and you can see 44, and that is reference to Mr. Leonard.

A. Right.

- Q. Can you kind of describe some of the injuries that you saw on him? Can you describe those injuries now on the actual person?
- A. Okay. So as you can see this is my Case

 Number 1244, and that corresponds to our patient. And

 as you can see that the front of the face showing an

 extensive fracture, an open fracture to the right

 forehead just above the right eyebrow right here.

And the top of the head, you can see there's at least five blows to the top of the head. And, in fact, you can see that the front portion of the head is somewhat caved in compared to the front -- sorry, the back top of the head, you can see here.

So this is the top of the back of the head and this is the front top of the head. You can see the two levels.

- Q. Okay. Is there anything you can tell us about, I guess, the location as far as the fractures underneath? You kind of mentioned that it kind of caved in a little bit.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. It sounds like a silly question, but what's

underneath that part of the head? 1 2 The brain. 3 Okay. The brain is obviously an important Q. 4 part of the body? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And injuries to the head would cause some 7 significant impact to him, correct? Correct. Yes. 8 Α. 9 Q. You mentioned that you also take X-rays. 10 I believe an X-ray is next. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And this is State's Exhibit 13 MR. SARABIA: 405. 14 MR. LABRUZZO: 405. If you can turn it. 15 There we go. Thank you. 16 So basically this is our Case THE WITNESS: 17 Number 1244. And this is the top of the head, the 18 back top of the head; and here is the front top of 19 the head. So you can see there's two levels of the 20 top of the head portions.

(By Mr. Labruzzo) And so you mentioned on the

other picture, in 404, that the head is kind of caved

It's right here.

Right. Okay. Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

in?

A.

Q.

1 MR. LABRUZZO: Next photograph. MR. SARABIA: 2 406. 3 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Now we're going to look at Q. State's 406. 4 5 Doctor, can you orientate the jury as to what we're 6 looking here in 406? 7 Okay. So basically what they did was open the So basically the scalp was cut here on the top 8 9 and then peeled forward and peeled backwards. 10 this exposes the top of the head. 11 This is the front and that's the back. 12 basically we try to put back the skull together. 13 is the front right, the big fracture to the right 14 eyebrow region right here, and the fractures go all the 15 way towards the middle and towards the left side. 16 Q. All right. 17 Α. This is the front part, it's the frontal bone. 18 And that's a pretty significant fracture to Q. 19 the front of the head, would you agree? 20 Α. Correct. Yes. 21 All right. So in looking at this picture, you Q. 22 kind of already said you tried to put it back together. 23 When you peel back the aspect of the skin and look 24 at the skull, is the skull intact as you see it here? 25 Α. They were fragments like an eggshell fracture.

- Q. Okay. So you can kind of see, and I'm going to point to it, but in the photograph the, I guess, for lack of a better --
 - A. This is basically the glue.
- Q. Right. That's what I was going to get at,

 Doctor. Did you glue back the skull to try to put it
 together?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. In doing so, were you able to find evidence of other injuries?
- A. Only blunt trauma. No evidence of a gunshot wound.
- Q. All right. So as it relates to the injuries to Mr. Leonard, we're talking about blunt trauma. Let's just take a second and step back and talk about what is blunt trauma. And I'm just going to ask to be careful, I don't want you to trip.

Can you describe for this jury what is blunt trauma and how that relates to maybe other types of trauma?

- A. Okay. So basically blunt force trauma is an injury caused by a blunt object, it could be a pipe, it could be a hammer; as opposed to an incised or sharp force injuries that can be due to a knife as compared to a gunshot wound which shows a different type of injury.
 - Q. All right. And obviously you have the skill

to try and identify the difference between these? 1 Yes, sir. 2 Α. 3 Q. All right. MR. LABRUZZO: If you can show us the next 5 photograph, please. MR. SARABIA: 407. 6 7 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, we're 8 looking at State's Exhibit 407. Can you just orientate 9 the jury as to what we're looking at? 10 A. All right. So this is the top of the head, 11 this is the front, and that's the back. And you can see 12 these fractures. This part here is missing that I can't 13 find. There's another hole or a fracture site right 14 there on the top right of the head. And there's 15 multiple fractures especially towards the left, 16 fractures also towards the right. 17 Q. Again the same thing, these are pieces that 18 you were able to glue back together, correct? 19 Yes. Correct. 20 And I want to talk about this injury right Q. 21

- here, Dr. Palma. Can you describe that for us?
- 22 Α. It is a circular injury. You want 23 measurements?
 - Q. Sure.

24

25

Α. It's a three by one centimeter defect.

1	Q. All right. And would you agree with me that
2	that defect is circular in nature?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next
5	photograph, please.
6	MR. SARABIA: 408.
7	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. So, Dr. Palma,
8	this is State's Exhibit 408. If you can just take a
9	second and describe what it is and orientate it for the
10	jury?
11	A. Okay. So this is the top of the head and this
12	is the right side. You can see the eye socket right
13	here, and it's the right side. You can see there's
14	multiple fractures towards the right side of the head,
15	and there's still parts missing right here.
16	Q. All right. And that is evidence of?
17	A. Of blunt trauma.
18	Q. All right. So now we have blunt trauma to the
19	front and both sides and the top of the head, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. All right.
22	MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next
23	photograph.
24	MR. SARABIA: 409.
25	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right.

1	A. 409. Okay. So basically this is the left
2	side, this is the front, and that's the back. You can
3	see this gaping fracture to the left side of the head.
4	I cannot put back the small pieces of bone together.
5	Q. Right. You tried?
6	A. I tried, yes.
7	Q. All right. But there were pieces too small or
8	pieces were missing and you weren't able to kind of glue
9	back together those pieces?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. All right. So what does this injury tell you
12	about the head of Mr. Leonard?
13	A. Oh, extensive head trauma.
14	Q. Extensive head trauma?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. All right. And causing skull fractures?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next
19	photograph.
20	MR. SARABIA: 410.
21	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, you
22	talked about some of the pieces that you couldn't put
22	hash tagathan

I'd like you to describe State's Exhibit 410 to the jury as to what was found?

- A. These are the fragments of bone or skull that
 I just kind of put back together. They're different
 shapes, different sizes.

 Q. All right. And, again, when you have a scene
 - where you found bodies that are of a decomposing nature, parts could be missing, correct?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. All right. That's kind of, I guess, you have to take what you can get?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right.
- MR. LABRUZZO: If you could show us the next photograph.
 - MR. LAWHORNE: 411.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. I now would like to talk about State's Exhibit 411. This is a photograph. There have been certain portions that have been blocked out, but there is words that orientate.
 - Are you orientated to the picture, Doctor?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Can you describe to the jury as to what's here in State's Exhibit 411, and kind of orientate them to the person or the body?
- A. All right. So this is the back, this is the left side, and this is the front. This is the jaw area,

- the left side, with a gaping incised wound to the left 1 2 jaw region. 3 All right. And what can you tell us about Q. 4 this injury?
 - This is an injury caused by a sharp object, it Α. could be a knife, it could be something else.
 - All right. And just we've kind of seen some of the pictures and the jury has seen pictures as to the maggots that are left behind. I assume you guys tried to remove --
 - Α. Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

- It's just sometimes you can't get them all; is that correct.
 - Α. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. And this is on the left jaw?
- 16 Correct. Yes. A.
- 17 Q. And this is an injury and not an artifact?
- A. This is an injury. You can see a nice --19 sorry. Not nice, but it's a sharp edge injury.
 - Q. Okay.
 - MR. LABRUZZO: Could you show us the next photograph.
 - MR. LAWHORNE: 412.
- 24 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. This is State's Q. 25 Exhibit 412. Dr. Palma, why don't you take a minute and

orientate yourself as to photograph. Are you oriented?

A. Yes. So basically this is the left side of the head. You can see this left here is the top of the head. And remember I said about the caving in? You can see the back top of the head is higher than the front top of the head right here.

Basically we have at least nine separate blows to the left side of the head.

- Q. All right. You mentioned earlier the artifacts remaining from animals and that as compared to evidence of injury. The injuries left here on the head of Mr. Leonard, they are circular in nature?
 - A. Correct. Yes.

- Q. Are these artifacts or is this evidence of injury?
- A. Oh, no. These are evidence of injuries.

 These are injuries, but the shape may be changed by an artifact or in fact by the maggots or a drying artifact or just part of decomposition.
- Q. Okay. And if we can go back to the exhibit that showed the left side. This is the exhibit where we weren't able to put back together. State's Exhibit --

MR. LAWHORNE: 409.

Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) 409. This is what is underneath what you just described, correct?

A. That's correct, yes.

- Q. All right. And just so we're clear, on State's Exhibit 409, the front forehead area, it's an area that you were able to put back together, correct?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. All right. But you were unable to put back the left side?
 - A. The left side. The left side of the head.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - MR. LABRUZZO: Are there any other photographs?
- 12 MR. LAWHORNE: 413.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Can you describe what's shown here in State's Exhibit 413?
 - A. 413. This is the head. This is the neck. This is the right upper chest right here showing the circular wound caused by no idea. Anyway. There's a circular wound on the right upper chest, base of the neck region.
 - Q. Okay. And that was an injury you observed on his body off of his head, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. As it relates to the other injuries on the person of Mr. Leonard not related to his head, there was this injury here and there was some

- other injuries to his arm, correct? 1 2 Correct. Yes. 3 MR. LABRUZZO: Could you show us the next item. 5 MR. LAWHORNE: 414. 6 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Oh, go back to that. Q. 7 Α. Okay. 8 We can bring it up 414. Forgive me. Q. 9 Α. All right. So basically this is the top of 10 the head. There is an incised wound to the top of the 11 head. This was not associated with fractures. 12 Can you repeat that. Q. 13 Not associated with fractures. Α. Not associated with fractures. 14 Q. 15 But you can describe this as an incised wound as opposed to --16 **17** Α. A laceration. 18 Q. All right. 19 An incised wound is due to a sharp edge 20 instrument as compared to a laceration due to a blunt 21 edge blunt object. 22 Q. Okay. All right. And, again, this is just 23 evidence on the skin of injury, correct?
 - Correct. Yes. Q. All right. Thank you.

25

Α.

If we could go to --1 MR. LABRUZZO: MR. LAWHORNE: 415. 2 3 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, can 4 you describe what we have here? Are you orientated as 5 to photograph State's Exhibit 415? 6 Basically this is the left arm. 7 the elbow around here. You can see these are vertically 8 oriented incised wounds to the left lateral arm right 9 here and right here. 10 Q. All right. And that was caused by? 11 A sharp edge instrument. Α. 12 As opposed to a blunt? Q. 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. All right. 15 MR. LABRUZZO: Any other photographs? 16 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, Q. 17 before we move on to the next examination, the injuries 18 sustained to Mr. Leonard, we have injuries to the head, 19 injuries to the chest and injuries to the arm. 20 The injuries to the chest and to the arm, are those 21 injuries that were likely to cause death? 22 Α. Not in this case. 23 Okay. As comparing that to the injuries 24 related to the head, right?

25

Α.

Correct. Yes.

1	Q. You described a total of approximately 21?
2	A. At least 21. Because one of those injuries
3	can be due to multiple impacts.
4	Q. Okay. At least 21 different injuries to the
5	head?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Can you look at any one in particular injury
8	that you could say that injury itself would have
9	incapacitated Mr. Leonard?
10	A. No.
11	Q. All right. Can you look at any one in
12	particular injury and say that would have led to the
13	death of Mr. Leonard?
14	A. No.
15	Q. All right. Ultimately you come to a cause and
16	manner of death, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Is that really based on the totality of the
19	injuries to the head?
20	A. Correct. Yes.
21	Q. All right. Let's just talk about how someone
22	would respond based on these injuries to the head.
23	Okay?
24	We're talking about 21 different blows to the head?
25	A. Correct.

- 1 0. At some point do the blows begin to 2 incapacitate an individual who sustained these injuries? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. All right. Can you tell the jury how many 5 that would take to get to that point? 6 Α. It depends upon which blows. The answer is, 7 no. Okay. It would depend on a number of factors? 8 9 Α. Correct. 10 Can you describe for this injury what those Q. 11 factors might be? Well, it depends upon where is the location of 12 13 It depends upon what kind of instrument the impact. 14 used. Is it a heavy instrument or light instrument? 15 depends upon what part of the brain was injured first. 16 Q. All right. 17 Α. Too many factors.
 - Q. And, I guess, we're talking about it, because we're looking at photographs of skin and bone. And I kind of mentioned it earlier, underneath the skin and bone is the brain, correct?
 - A. Correct.

19

20

21

22

- Q. And the brain, I quess --
- A. There's not much left. Let's see. You want the want weight?

1 Q. Sure.

6

7

8

9

10

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Usually the weight of the brain is about

 1,500, 1,400 grams. What we have is about 360 grams of

 brain, a partially liquified brain. So there's not much

 left.
 - Q. And that becomes through the course of decomposition, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. With that being said, the brain is an important organ in the body, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
 - Q. It helps control other bodily functions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. The injuries that you observed, would those also have an impact on the brain?
- 16 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And what would those injuries do to the brain of Mr. Leonard?
 - A. Those injuries can cause contusions or lacerations to the brain.
 - Q. All right. I guess if you would have had the brain, if this would have been a death that occurred and decomposition had not occurred, you would have been able to examine that, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And you would be able to tell us maybe what specific injuries to the brain?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. You can't do that in this case?
- 5 | A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. All right. Is it fair to say that based on the injuries to the scalp and the skull fractures, there would have been injuries to the brain?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And those injuries would have led to problems for Mr. Leonard?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. As part of your examination, do you also take a height of the body?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And a weight of the body?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell this jury on the date that you examined it, maybe not how he was when he was upright, but what was his height and weight?
- 21 A. 69 inches, that would be about 5'9".
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 A. And 157 pounds.
- Q. All right. Doctor, before we move on to the next one, based on your examination, the photographs and

- the X-rays, were you able to determine a cause of death as it relates to Mr. Leonard?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what can you tell this jury about the cause of death?
 - A. Blunt force trama.
 - Q. All right. Was there any one particular injury that we talked about that you're saying was the cause of death?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

- 11 Q. All right. So how do you get from -- is it
 12 just the totally --
 - A. The totality of the case.
 - Q. Okay. Based on the cause of death, were you able to determine what the manner of death was in this case?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what was the manner of death?
- 19 A. It's a homicide.
- 20 Q. All right. Just stay right there, Doctor.
- 21 ||I'm going to move on to the next.
- 22 That was Case Number 44, Mr. Leonard. Let's now 23 talk about Case Number 45, Miss Megan Brown.
- 24 | A. Okay.
- 25 Q. All right. I'm going to show you what's been

premarked as State's Exhibits 416 through 418. 1 2 Α. Okay. 3 I'll hold this if you'd like. Q. Okay. 4 through the photographs. Let me know when you've 5 recognized them. 6 Do you recognize these photographs, Doctor? 7 Yes. Α. 8 All right. do they accurately depict the 9 diagram and the photographs that were taken at the 10 Medical Examiner's Office? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, at this 13 time the State would seek to admit what's been 14 marked as State's Exhibits 416 through 421? 15 THE COURT: Any objection? 16 MR. VISCARA: No. 17 THE COURT: All right. 416 through 421 will 18 be admitted. 19 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, when 20 we started --21 MR. LABRUZZO: This is State's Exhibit? 22 MR. LAWHORNE: 416. 23 (By Mr. Labruzzo) 416. When you first 24 performed your examination on Miss Brown, were you able 25 to make at least external examination of her, were you

- 1 | able to see evidence of injury?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

20

- Q. All right. In fact, was there only one injury of note as it relates to Miss Brown?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Where did you find the injury as it relates to Miss Brown?
 - A. There was an entrance gunshot to the left eye.
 - Q. All right. You identified it as an entrance wound. How can you tell it was an entrance wound?
- 11 A. Oh, basically you can see the beveling of the 12 bone as compared to an exit wound.
 - Q. All right. Let's just take a step back for a second. If it was a body of a person who had received a gunshot wound but not decomposed, the wound left eye, a bullet is fairly distinct, correct?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right. So you're familiar with the way that looks?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you see evidence of that as it relates to Miss Brown?
 - A. A decomposing body, no.
- Q. Okay. So you can't really tell how close or how far the person was that shot Miss Brown?

1	A. No.
2	Q. All right. If there would have been evidence
3	of an exit wound, would you have seen it?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. All right. And as you examined the body, did
6	you see any evidence of a exit wound from an external
7	examination?
8	A. No. Negative.
9	Q. All right. Ultimately, when you see a gunshot
10	on a person and you see no exit wound, do you
11	investigate further?
12	A. Of course, yes.
13	Q. Okay. And what do you do?
14	A. We do an X-ray and then followed by
15	examination of the entrance wound and follow the wound
16	track.
17	Q. An X-ray would tell you whether or not there
18	is either a bullet fragment or some foreign substance, a
19	foreign metal object inside the body?
20	A. Correct. And the general location of the
21	wound.
22	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Could you show us
23	MR. LAWHORNE: 418.
24	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. I'm going to

show you what's been introduced as State's Exhibit 418.

Are you oriented as to the photograph, Doctor?

- A. Yes. And as you can see with my Case

 Number 1245. X-ray of the head. This is the left side

 and that is the right side, showing the projectile on

 the left side of the head.
 - Q. Okay.

- MR. LABRUZZO: And could you show us the next X-ray.
 - MR. LAWHORNE: 417.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) 417.
- A. 417. Okay. So basically you have the front part of the face, and the back part, and it's showing the bullet or a metallic projectile to the back of the head right here.
- Q. Dr. Palma, is it fair to characterize this as maybe a bird's-eye view or looking on top of the skull of Miss Brown?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the projectile was towards the back of the skull?
 - A. Back of the head, yes.
 - Q. Back of the head.
- As part of your examination, you kind of already described it, you guys go in and look to see if you can retrieve it. Is that something that you do?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. All right.
3	MR. LABRUZZO: If you can show us
4	MR. LAWHORNE: 419.
5	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Can you zoom out a
6	little bit.
7	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Doctor, this is
8	State's Exhibit 419. Before you begin, I just want to
9	note that there are two areas that have been blacked out
10	or browned out, I guess, which indicates the nose and
11	mouth.
12	Can you orientate this jury as to this photograph,
13	please?
14	A. Yes. So basically we have the left eye right
15	here, this is the right eye right over here, the nose
16	here, and the mouth here.
17	So as you can see there is a perforation or injury
18	to the left eye.
19	Q. All right. Is that consistent with the
20	location of the bullet that you found in the X-rays?
21	A. The entrance, yes.
22	Q. The entrance.
23	Okay. And, again, because of the state of
24	decomposition of the body, that can affect your ability
25	to tell us a little more about the injury; is that

1 ||correct?

- A. That is correct. I don't know whether it's stippling or gun powder or soot present on the surrounding soft tissue.
- Q. Those things you mentioned -- stippling, gun powder or soot -- could you just give the overall description of what that might be?
- A. So that indicates the range of the fire, whether it's contact or not contact or whether it's close range or not.
- Q. All right. You're saying contact, you're actually talking about the gun being in contact with the skin?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Close range would be if it was within a specific range, you would see evidence of those things you just talked about?
 - A. Correct. The stippling.
- Q. All right. Decomposition kind of affects your ability to see that, correct?
- A. Correct. And, you know, there's no skin, so in that general area.
 - Q. All right.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next --
- MR. LAWHORNE: 420.

- 1 MR. LABRUZZO: 420. Okay. I'm going to ask 2 you to turn it.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Is that way better, Doctor?
 - A. Yes. It's better this way.
 - Q. That's better?
- 6 A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. All right. Does that help orientate you as to this photograph, Doctor?
 - A. Yes. So basically we have the right side.

 This is the right ear, left ear. And this is the front,
 this is the back. This is the eye, left eye. And this
 is the left back right here.

So basically this is the wound track from the left eye through the middle anterior, left anterior, left middle, cranial fossa, and into the left occipital bone right here.

- Q. Okay. The rod is something you guys added to show the wound track, correct?
 - A. Correct. To make it easier.
- Q. All right. Can you tell us about the path of travel of the bullet in this case?
- A. Yes. So basically it's front to back, and basically from the left side of the body to the right side of the body.
- 25 Q. Okay. We talked briefly about there not being

an exit wound on the exterior of the body of Miss Brown.
When you look at this photograph, you can see that the
rod goes all the way through?

A. Yes.

- Q. But that's not what you observed on the body itself?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Can you just give the jury an explanation about what you found as it relates the rear skull portion of Miss Brown?
- A. So basically when the gun was fired, the bullet went through the left eye and into the base of the calvarium causing these fractures right here, and into the medial aspect of the left occipital lobe.

The problem is the bullet didn't have enough force to perforate the scalp around here. So the bullet somehow got stuck between the scalp and the bone, but due to decomposition the bullet end up into the left cranial fossa, left posterior cranial fossa, at the base of the calvarium.

- Q. All right. Dr. Palma, this photograph kind of shows the absence of the brain; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. A brain was found, portions of the brain were found inside of Miss Brown; is that correct.

1 Α. Correct. Probably far less than what a normal brain 2 3 would be? 4 Α. Yes. 5 All right. This injury, a gunshot to the eye 6 through the brain fracturing the rear of the skull, what 7 kind of effect did that have on Miss Brown when this 8 happened? 9 So there will be perforating injury to the 10 left front of the brain, the left frontal lobe, then we 11 have the left temporal lobe, and we have the left 12 occipital lobe. 13 All right. And those lobes are obviously 14 important as to human function? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Now, would this have been an incapacitating 17 injury upon impact? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 All right. And as part of your --Q. 20 MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, go ahead and show that. 21 This is State's Exhibit --22 MR. LAWHORNE: 421. 23 MR. LABRUZZO: I'm sorry? 24 MR. LAWHORNE:

(By Mr. Labruzzo) 421. As part of your

25

Q.

- examination, you identify the projectile through the X-rays and you guys collect that, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Took a photograph of it?
 - A. Yes.

5

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right. And ultimately that is what's provided to the investigating agency?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'm going to show you what's been introduced already as State's Exhibit 303. I'm just going to ask if you see your name?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. And the case number?
- A. Yes. In fact, here's my signature. And this one right here, that's my handwriting exactly the same as the one in the picture.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you, Doctor. Give me one quick moment to get a better photograph.
 - All right. Dr. Palma, I'd like to move on to the next one. Okay. Now, let's talk about the examination Number 46, Margaret Brown. Okay?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I will hold these. All right. For the record, I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 422 through 432.

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. Take a second to look at those, diagrams and
3	photographs, and let me know when you've had an
4	opportunity to review them.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. All right. Are these diagrams and photographs
7	taken at the Medical Examiner's Office as it relates to
8	the examination of Ms. Margaret Brown?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, at this
11	time the State would seek to admit what's been
12	marked as State's Exhibits 422 through 432.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. VISCARA: Yes, Judge. I object to Number
15	428. Same objection previously made.
16	THE COURT: All right. I'll admit 422 through
17	432, overriding the objection on 428, and they'll
18	all be admitted.
19	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
20	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Before I get there, I seem
21	to have forgotten two very important questions.
22	As it relates to Miss Megan Brown, I forgot to talk
23	about cause and manner of death, Doctor.
24	A. Okay.

Q. So let's go back. The cause of death as it

relates to Miss Megan Brown, what was that? 1 A gunshot wound of the head. 2 3 All right. Obviously the injuries that you Q. saw? 4 5 Correct. Α. 6 And then as it relates the manner of death? Q. 7 It's a homicide. Α. 8 All right. Thank you. Q. 9 I'd now like to show you what's been 10 introduced as State's Exhibit? 11 MR. LAWHORNE: 422. 12 (By Mr. Labruzzo) 422. This is a diagram Q. 13 prepared by your office. 14 MR. LABRUZZO: Can you zoom out a little bit. 15 Thank you. 16 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Okay. And upon your 17 external examination of Ms. Margaret Brown, did you find 18 evidence of injury? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 And just so to kind of set the stage as it Q. 21 relates to Ms. Margaret Brown, when she was found at the 22 scene she had a white bag over her head, correct? 23 Α. Yes. Two plastic bags. 24 Two plastic bags. Q.

And the plastic bags, did that have any effect as

to the injuries or the skin that you observed?

A. Not much.

- Q. Okay. But that would prevent certain maggots or other animals to prevent getting in; is that correct?
 - A. Well, there were still maggots inside.
- Q. Okay. All right. Let's talk about the external examination specifically as to the head of Ms. Margaret Brown that you observed. In this diagram you kind of laid them out. Can you describe them for the jury?
- A. Okay. So basically we have at least nine blows to the head -- one to the forehead, there were two to the right side, and there were six to the left side.
- Q. Okay. And could you tell what caused these injuries?
 - A. They are due to a blunt object or objects.
 - Q. Blunt objects?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. As opposed to?
 - A. To a sharp.
- Q. A sharp force?
 - A. Sharp force injuries with using a knife.
- Q. Okay. And when you identify these injuries, do you then, I guess, take photographs like you kind of already described that process?

1 Α. Yes, sir. MR. LABRUZZO: All right. If you could show 2 3 us the next photograph. MR. LAWHORNE: 424. 5 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. If you can zoom out 6 a little bit. 7 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. 8 So basically that's from the top right 9 portion of the head showing this injury as a shape of a 10 skull fracture. 11 Okay. So there's evidence of external injury Ο. 12 here, correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. And when you examined further in, you also 15 found evidence of a corresponding skull fracture? 16 A. Correct. 17 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And this is State's 18 Exhibit -- what number is it? 19 MR. LAWHORNE: 424. 20 MR. LABRUZZO: This is the top of the head. 21 Could you show us the next slide, next photograph. 22 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 423. 23 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Q. 24 A. Okay. So 423, that's the same general 25 location of the right side of the head. You can see the 1 scalp right here and you can see this fracture under the laceration.

- Q. Does that correspond with the last photograph that we looked at?
 - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right. So you have evidence of a skull fracture. What is that caused by?
 - A. A blunt object.
 - Q. All right.
 - MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next photographs.
- 12 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 425.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right.
 - A. All right. So this is a higher magnification or a closer view of the same skull fracture.
 - Q. Okay. Again, so let's just take a quick second because we're going to see some other photographs, but this is skull fracture that doesn't actually go through or cause the skull to fall apart, for lack of a better term. Do you understand what I'm saying?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would an injury like this have impact on an individual?
- 25 A. It can.

O. And how so?

- A. I think in this case it depends upon whether this is the first blow or not.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. All right. Let's say this is the first blow, with this kind of injury there will be contusion to the surface of the brain below this impact site.
 - Q. Okay. And a contusion to the brain, what kind of --
 - A. Bruising, and bruising and there will be hemorrhaging to the brain.
 - Q. Okay. Bruising and hemorrhaging of the brain. What kind of an effect would that have on an individual?
 - A. It can cause only one, right?
 - Q. We're just talking about this injury here.
 - A. So this can cause swelling of the brain, it can cause hemorrhaging, and it can even cause herniation to the brain.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. Depending upon the sequence of events.
 - Q. All right. If you have those things you just described, the contusion and the hemorrhaging and the bruising, how would a person react to such an injury? Would that be an incapacitating injury? A disorienting?
 - A. It can. It can be an incapacitating injury by

itself. 1 Okay. Is this an injury that would bleed? 2 Q. 3 A. Yes. Q. All right. Thank you. 5 MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next 6 photograph. 7 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 426. 8 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Okay. 9 426 is a laceration on the left front, left 10 front of the head, the left frontal parietal scalp 11 region. 12 Can you just kind of show us where we're Q. 13 talking about? 14 Α. Right here to the left front of the head. 15 This is not associated with a skull fracture. 16 All right. So this is an injury that does not Q. 17 have a fracture. Is this is an injury that would bleed? 18 It can. But, again, it depends upon the 19 sequence of events. Is it the first one or the last one 20 of the nine blows. 21 All right. And just so we're clear, based on Q. 22 your examination, can you give this jury a definitive

answer as to what happened first or what happened second

25 A. No.

or what happened third?

23

All right. Some injuries can be informative 1 0. 2 as to the sequence, though; is that correct? 3 Yes. Α. Q. All right. 5 MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next 6 photograph. 7 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 427. 8 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. 9 Α. That is a large laceration on the left 10 side of the head. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. Right here. 13 If you look at the top up here, you can see 14 the photograph we just spoke about; is that correct? 15 Α. That is correct. This is the previous 16 laceration to the top left side of the head. 17 Q. All right. What can you tell us about the 18 injury that's shown here? 19 This injury is associated with a large defect 20 of the brain -- I'm sorry. Of the skull measuring 21 6.4.5 centimeters. 22 Q. Okay. So you said it's a large defect? 23 Α. Correct.

And what can you tell us about what would

24

25

Q.

cause an injury like that?

Again, a blunt object with significant force 1 Α. 2 could cause that kind of injury. 3 All right. Significant force is required to Q. 4 crack the skull and then cause that kind of a fracture? 5 It's 6 by 4.5 centimeters. So it's a Α. Yes. 6 big fracture, defect. 7 MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Can you show us the next 8 photograph. 9 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 428. 10 Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. This is State's 11 Exhibit 428. Take a second to orientate yourself as to 12 this photograph. 13 Okay. So this is our Case Number 1246. This 14 is left side of the head showing a gaping fracture right 15 here. 16 Okay. Is the photograph we just looked at, Q. 17 the one with the skin, the one you just described, this 18 is the corresponding fracture as to that injury? 19 Correct. Yes. 20 All right. What can you tell us about this Q. 21 fracture, Doctor? 22 Α. It's a big fracture. We got portions of the 23 Again, it is caused by a blunt object.

All right. And an injury like this, what kind

of an effect would that have on an individual that's hit

24

25

Q.

with a blunt object with such force to cause this type of fracture?

- A. By itself this is fatal.
- Q. Okay. This is a fatal injury?
- A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. As opposed to the injuries we've already talked about and some of the other ones we will talk about, this injury right here is a fatal injury?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. How do you come to that determination?
- 11 A. It's a large fracture. You can see that. And
 12 that is caused by a significant force causing that kind
 13 of fracture.
 - Q. Okay. Would that fracture have an impact on the brain?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. We were talking moments ago about the small fracture and the level of contusion and hemorrhaging that you would have as it relates to that and compare that to the contusion and hemorrhaging that you would have here, would it be more significant?
 - A. Yes. This can cause a laceration. On top of the hemorrhaging of the brain, this can cause laceration to the brain under this -- back inside.
 - Q. So beyond contusion, if you have a laceration

of the brain, what kind of effect would that have on an 1 2 individual? 3 Again, by itself this is fatal. Α. 4 Q. All right. Would this be an incapacitating 5 injury? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. Thank you. Q. 8 MR. LABRUZZO: If you can show us the next 9 one. 10 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 429.

- Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right.
- A. 429. Okay. So this is the left side, this is left ear, and this is the large laceration that we just talked about earlier. This is the back, and here you can see three separate lacerations. These lacerations were not associated with the skull fracture.
- Q. So these injuries to the skull did not cause lacerations. Would this have caused bleeding?
 - A. It can, yes.
 - Q. Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- MR. LABRUZZO: Show us the next photograph.
- 22 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 430.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right.
- A. Okay. So this is the right ear. This is the back of the head. This is towards the back of the head.

You got a vertically laceration to the back, towards the back right side of the head. It's not associated with skull fracture.

O. Okay. Would this be associated with potential

- Q. Okay. Would this be associated with potential bleeding?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, we've talked about the injuries to the skull of the head of Ms. Margaret Brown. We talked a second ago about not being able to sequence the number of injuries, but just so that we're clear, the injury to the left side of the skull, the large fracture, regardless of where that came, that is a fatal injury?
 - A. By itself, yes.
 - Q. By itself.
 - MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. If you could show us the next.
 - MR. LAWHORNE: This is 431.
- MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you want to orientate yourself.
 - A. Okay. This is the left hand. This is the back of the hand. You can see this is the wrist showing these ligature marks right here.
- Q. All right. And what does that ligature mark tell you, Doctor.
- A. That is due to the ligature around the wrist

at the scene they found we removed. 1 2 Okay. And this is a good example of a 3 ligature. 4 MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next one as 5 well. 6 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 432. 7 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. 8 A. Okay. So this is the right and left hands. 9 Same ligature marks around the wrists. 10 Q. Okay. Doctor, when you came into contact with 11 Ms. Margaret Brown, you already indicated that her hands 12 were tied behind her back; is that correct? 13 Α. Correct. This is the evidence of that, correct? 14 Q. 15 Α. Correct. This is the imprint of the zip ties 16 that were taken at the scene. 17 0. All right. You also identified the fact that 18 there were two plastic bags on her head as well? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And there was corresponding items used to tie that around her head? 21 22 Α. Correct. And they were tight and there were 23 marks around the neck. 24 Q. All right. Let's talk about marks around the

neck. I don't have photographs of that because of the

- nature of the photographs; but were there in, in fact,
 ligature marks around the neck?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And what does that tell you as part of your case?
- A. Well, ligature marks around the neck, the chin, and all the way to the face and the mouth region, to me that indicates that this patient was asphyxiated before having this blunt trauma to the head.
- Q. Okay. And as part of your findings -- and we'll get to it in a second -- did the asphyxiation have a part in your analysis of this case?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right. Can you just give a description of what asphyxiation is for the jury?
- A. Asphyxiation is basically lack of blood flow, oxygenation to the brain.
- Q. All right. That by itself could ultimately lead to death, correct?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. All right. As it realities to Ms. Margaret
 Brown, did you take notes as to her height and weight at
 the time of your examination?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you tell us what that was?

- A. Height is 62 inches or 5"2', and 75 pounds.
- Q. Okay. Again, she was in a state of decomposition, correct?
 - A. Correct.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

- Q. That can affect someone's weight when you take it?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. All right. As part of your analysis and your viewing of the photographs, the autopsies, X-rays as it relates to Ms. Margaret Brown, did you come to a decision as to what the cause of death would be on Ms. Margaret Brown?
 - A. Yes, sir. Yes.
 - Q. And what was that?
 - A. Blunt head trauma.
- Q. Okay. And was there a contributory condition that you noted as part of your examination?
- 18 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was that?
 - A. Asphyxiation.
- Q. All right. And when you say contributory condition, what do you mean?
- A. It contributed to the cause of death, basically.
- 25 Q. All right. Okay.

- A. So in this case you have two factors that may have killed, blunt head trauma and asphyxiation.

 Q. All right. Let's take a second to talk about
 - Q. All right. Let's take a second to talk about that. I'm going to show you right now State's Exhibit 288.
- 6 A. Okay.

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

20

- Q. All right. It's already been introduced.
- I'm want to show you State's Exhibit 288. You're familiar with this photograph?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Ms. Brown's head, Ms. Margaret Brown's head, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Inside of the bag there is a liquified substance?
- 16 A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. And based on your experience as the medical examiner, can you tell the jury what you believe this to be?
 - A. This is the brain matter, the decomposing brain matter.
- Q. Okay. And that's located inside the bag, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. All right. And to get inside the bag, it has

to come out of an injury; is that correct?

A. That's correct, yes.

Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 428.

Is this injury large enough to cause the brain matter to exit out of?

- A. Yes. And you can see there's still fragments or small portions of brain matter inside the skull.
- Q. Okay. Having been to the scene and viewed the state in which you found Ms. Margaret Brown, having performed the autopsy, and looking at the injuries related to her, do you have an opinion as to how the brain matter got into the white bag?
- A. Oh, the trauma happened after the bag was placed over the head.
- Q. Okay. So the injuries that we see here in State's 428, based on your opinion is that it occurred after the bag was placed on her head?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. And after it was tied on?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Dr. Palma, we just have one more.
- A. Okay.
- Q. We will now discuss the examination you did on Mr. Gregory Brown, Number 47.

1 A. Okay. For the record, I'd like to show you what's 2 3 been premarked as State's Exhibits 433 through 437. 4 Α. Okay. 5 All right. Are those diagrams that you 6 prepared in relation to the autopsy of Mr. Gregory 7 Brown? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 0. And were these photographs taken of 10 Mr. Gregory Brown? 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. LABRUZZO: At this time, Your Honor, the 14 State would seek to admit 433 through 437. 15 THE COURT: Any objection. 16 MR. VISCARA: No. **17** THE COURT: 433 to 437 will be in evidence. 18 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, this 19 is the diagram of the injuries as it relates to 20 Mr. Gregory Brown. Upon your external examination, did 21 you find evidence of external injuries? 22 Α. Yes, sir. There was an injury to the right 23 iliac bone. 24 Okay. And let's just take a second and talk Q. 25 about Mr. Brown just from an external examination, as

far as the condition of his body maybe as it relates to some of the condition of the other bodies and the state of decomposition that he might have been in.

Can you tell this jury how his body viewed differently than some of the others?

- A. Basically he was more decomposed than the others. There are numerous holes in the front of the chest and the abdomen, the posterior torso, and even the face.
- Q. Okay. And earlier we talked about how the state of decomposition and the fact that there may have been animal or insects involved in the body, how that can affect your ability to examine the body, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did Mr. Gregory Brown's body present you with some of that difficulty?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. Despite his level of decomposition, you were still able to review the body and find evidence of injury?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And looking at this --
- 23 MR. LABRUZZO: This is State's Exhibit?
- 24 MR. LAWHORNE: 433.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) -- 433.

On the rear side of Mr. Gregory Brown, you make some notes of some injuries to his back. Let's talk about the two that are not related to this case? Α. Okay. All right. Can you point to the two injuries or evidence of injury? So basically we have two vertically oriented incisions to the back, the lower back, and that's consistent with the history that my patient had a laminectomy, a surgical procedure of the lumbar region. Q. Okay. It was reported to you that he had recently had back surgery, correct? Α. Correct. Q. And that's the laminectomy? Α. Laminectomy. Laminectomy. Thank you. Q. As part of your examination of the body, did you actually find evidence of that as it relates to his back? Α. Yes. Okay. Located in the similar area, did you Q. find evidence of injury?

Can you point to the body and tell the jury

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

what you found?

Yes.

- A. It is a gunshot wound to the right iliac region. It is the entrance gunshot wound.

 Okay. The diagram explains the location
 - Q. Okay. The diagram explains the location, but what is the iliac region?
 - A. It is towards the hip region.
- Q. Okay. Is there a bone, iliac bones in that area?
 - A. Yes.

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay.
- MR. LABRUZZO: If you could show us the next slide.
- 12 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 435.
 - Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Okay. Take a second,
 Doctor, and look at State's Exhibit 435.
 - A. Okay. So basically we do X-rays in each of our cases, and this is the pelvic region in this area.
 - Q. Can you just point again to where the iliac bones are?
 - A. Yes. Here and here. Sorry.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. That is the lumbar, vertebrae, and you have this one, two -- and there should be one here -- three metallic foreign objects that are in this case and that's consistent with bullet fragments.
 - Q. Okay. The X-ray kind of reveals these small

pieces of metal, correct? 1 2 Correct. Yes. 3 And you believe that to be evidence of a 4 gunshot wound? 5 Α. Correct. 6 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Can you show us the 7 next X-ray. MR. LAWHORNE: This is 434. 8 9 THE WITNESS: All right. So here is the 10 metallic fragment right here and there's another 11 one here. 12 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Finding these 13 pieces of metallic pieces of metal in this area, when 14 you do your examination, do you go and you look at the 15 area of injury there? 16 A. Yes. 17 MR. LABRUZZO: Can you show us the next 18 photograph. 19 MR. LAWHORNE: This is 436. 20 (By Mr. Labruzzo) 436. There we go. Q. 21 Let's see. So this is the right iliac bone, Α. 22 this is the front part, and as you can see there's a 23 fracture here and it is going this way, going 24 anteriorly.

What do you mean by going anteriorly?

25

Q.

- 1 Α. It is the exit part of the bone. 2 So this is front part of his iliac bone and 3 this an exit wound? 4 Α. Correct. 5 How can you tell it's an exit wound, Doctor? 6 Α. And you can see this beveling, beveling right 7 here, and this part of the bone right here going 8 anteriorly, this way. 9 Q. Okay. All right. So this is an exit in the 10 front. 11 MR. LABRUZZO: And could you show us the next 12 photograph. 13 This is 437. MR. LAWHORNE: 14 THE WITNESS: As compared to this. 15 (By Mr. Labruzzo) Okay. Q. 16 As compared to this one right here showing 17 this bone going anteriorly. This is from the back going 18 forward. 19 Okay. So as you look at these two Q. 20 photographs, you could tell that one is an entrance and one is an exit? 21
- 22 Α. That is correct.

24

- And that in and of itself helps you determine the path in which the bullets went, correct?
 - A. Correct. The back to front direction.

1	Q. All right. The fact that you find					
2	corresponding bullet fragments, what does that tell you					
3	about the path of the bullet and what might have					
4	happened to the bullet inside the body?					
5	A. So basically the direction is back to front.					
6	And as you can see in the X-ray shown earlier					
7	MR. LABRUZZO: Can you just tell us the number					
8	before you put it up.					
9	MR. LAWHORNE: Yes. This is 435.					
10	THE WITNESS: You can see bullet fragments on					
11	the right iliac and to the left iliac right here					
12	and to the lower vertebrae.					
13	So most likely the direction is back to front,					
14	right to left, or it could be a separate gunshot					
15	wound.					
16	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) Okay. That was going to be					
17	my next question.					
18	If a bullet hits a piece of bone, is it uncommon					
19	for it to split apart into smaller pieces?					
20	A. It does, yes.					
21	Q. Okay.					
22	A. It fragments.					
23	Q. Okay. The fact that you find multiple					
24	fragments inside of Mr. Gregory Brown, what does that					
25	indicate to you about the number of gunshot wounds that					

he might have had?

- A. At least two.
- Q. Okay. Again, the state of decomposition could affect your ability to say where a bullet came in and where a bullet exited, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. His skin was fairly --
 - A. There are multiple holes in his skin.
 - Q. Correct.
- A. And there are lot's of maggots in these holes.

 So I am not sure which of those injuries were real

 entrance gunshot wounds or exit gunshot wounds.
 - Q. Okay. You just can't tell?
 - A. No.
- Q. These injuries to the bone, this area of pelvis, and we're talking about the lumbar area, are there pieces of human veins and aortas and -- you know, this is kind of an important area of your body and hips.
- Can you just describe for the jury what type of internal plumbing is going to be in this area that could be affected by a bullet like that?
- A. So basically we have the center of your body right here, and in here you will see the aorta, the abdominal aorta. If you go to the back from right to left, then you are going to hit the aorta, the abdominal

1 Waorta.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, of course, there are multiple perforations of the bowel, the mesentery and omentum, and those are vascular organs too. So not only that there is a perforation of the aorta, but there were also perforations of his organs. But the problem is the aorta is missing.

- Q. Okay.
- A. The lower abdominal aorta is missing.
- Q. And why was that?
- 11 A. Decomposition.
- Q. Okay. Let's just talk about the injury to the aorta. Is that an injury that would bleed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. This pelvic aorta, can you just describe its importance in your ability to stand and move?
 - A. So basically it's an abdominal aorta, it will be the same as if you have an aortic aneurysm rupture, you are going to die quickly.
 - Q. Okay. That is an injury that would have led to death?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Led to death fairly quickly?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is that an injury that would have bled? 2 Α. Yes. 3 All right. Q. Α. Internally. 5 Internally. Q. 6 Now, you said it perforated some of the bowels, 7 which are vascular, as you described? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Would those have bled? Ο. 10 A. Yes. 11 Internally? Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And if there's an entrance wound, blood could Q. 14 have exited out the entrance wound of the body? 15 Correct. Or exit wound. Α. 16 Or exit wound. 17 The fact that this is in the pelvic region, would 18 that have had any affect on his ability to stand, a 19 fracture in the right iliac pelvic region? 20 A. Perhaps. 21 Q. All right. 22 Α. I'm not a hundred percent sure.

All right. Is this an injury that could have

With that, say, perforating injury to the

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

incapacitated him to the ground?

1 | abdominal aorta, yes.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. And how would his body have responded at that time?
 - A. Basically you're bleeding and you die.
- Q. Okay. And did you have an opportunity to take the height and weight of Mr. Gregory Brown as part of your examination?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Can you tell this injury what you found?
 - A. 17 inches, 5'10', and 102 pounds.
 - Q. Okay. All right. And as it relates to the other injuries to the body, just so that we're clear, there was other evidence potentially of injury, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But the level of decomposition affects your ability to declare an injury versus an artifact from an insect?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And as it relates to Mr. Gregory
 Brown, did you come to the opinion as to what the cause
 of death would have been as it relates to Mr. Gregory
 Brown?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what was the cause of death as it relates to Mr. Gregory Brown?

1 Α. It's a quishot wound to the torso. All right. Again, you can't tell how many 2 3 wounds he had because of the state of the injury? 4 Α. That's correct, yes. 5 And the number of fragments found inside of Q. 6 his body? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And as it relates to the manner of death, can 9 you tell this jury what was your determination in the 10 manner of death as it relates to Mr. Gregory Brown? 11 Α. It's a homicide. 12 All right. Q. 13 MR. LABRUZZO: Your Honor, may I just have one 14 moment? 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, I'm 17 going to ask you one quick last question. 18 As part of your examination, were muscle and tissue 19 samples collected for these individuals for the purposes 20 of comparing potential DNA by another investigating 21 agency? 22 Α. We do. And also for toxicology. 23 And those would have been submitted to Okay. 24 the Pasco County Sheriff's Office for the purposes of

25

their examination?

1	A. Some of the specimens, yes; and we keep some.					
2	Q. All right.					
3	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Your Honor, I have					
4	no further questions of this witness at this time.					
5	* * * * * * * *					
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
7	BY MR. MICHAILOS:					
8	Q. (By Mr. Defense) Good afternoon, Doctor.					
9	A. Good afternoon, sir.					
10	Q. Dr. Palma, on direct you indicated that you					
11	found homicides as a manner of death in all four of					
12	these autopsies, correct?					
13	A. Yes, sir. Correct.					
14	Q. And you indicated that when you find a manner					
15	of death, you pick from about five categories, one of					
16	which you never use because natural causes is not					
17	something you investigate, correct?					
18	A. We do.					
19	Q. Oh, you do?					
20	A. Yes.					
21	Q. Okay. So other than natural, it would be					
22	undetermined, accidental, suicidal or homicide, correct?					
23	A. Correct. Yes.					
24	Q. Okay. And I think you said earlier that					
25	homicide pretty much means that you came to the					

conclusion somebody else killed or caused the death of 1 the person you're doing the autopsy on, correct? 2 3 A. Correct. Yes. 4 Q. And your finding is more from a medical as 5 opposed to a legal perspective, would you agree? 6 Α. Agree. Yes. For example, if someone was killed in 7 Q. self-defense, you couldn't draw a conclusion? 8 9 Α. It's a homicide. 10 Q. It's a homicide? 11 Α. Correct. 12 And you just have the body to go on, right? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. So you wouldn't have a suspect in mind? 15 A. No. No. 16 And as far as the type of homicide, whether it Q. 17 be a legal distinction of manslaughter or murder, you 18 wouldn't know that either? 19 Α. No. Negative. 20 And you can't give an opinion to that, Q. 21 correct? 22 Α. No. 23 Now, with regard to Megan Brown, your opinion Q.

is that the qunshot wound to the head caused her death?

Yes.

24

25

Α.

Correct.

- 1 Ο. Would you agree with me that that would have 2 caused an immediate loss of consciousness? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And would you agree that that gunshot wound to 5 the head would have caused immediate death? 6 Α. In this particular case, yes. 7 And I know you put on the record all the Q. 8 heights of all the individuals involved here. I don't 9 recall if you gave us Megan Brown's height. 10 Could you do that for me, please. 11 Α. 68 inches. That's 5'8". 12 Q. 5'8". 13 And you also gave us the weights of the four 14 individuals here. And you would agree this is post --15 Α. Postmortem. 16 Decomposition? Q. 17 Α. Correct. Yes. 18 So their weights probably wouldn't even come 19 close to what they weighed when they were alive, 20 correct? 21 Α. Most likely. Correct. 22
 - You need a weight of Megan?
 - I just needed the height. Thank you. Q.
- 24 A. Okay.

25 Q. Now, with regard to Greg Brown, he was

- 1 | definitely shot once, correct?
- 2 A. At least once or twice.
 - Q. But definitely once? Possibly twice?
 - A. Correct. Yes. Or even more.
 - Q. Or even more.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 6 And you can't be sure because of the decomposition?
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. And in all four of your autopsies, you made sure to include in your report that other injuries could not be determined with certainty because of the state of decomposition?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. That's true for all four people?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you said something about artifact being a factor here in that some of the wounds appearance could change because of insect activity, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And something that would otherwise be jagged would appear smooth or round?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Circular, if you will?
 - A. Circular. Correct. Yes.
- Q. So, for instance, some of the head wounds on Nicholas Leonard, they seem to be incredibly round, that

- might have nothing to do with the blunt force trauma,
 correct?
 - A. They were the trauma, they were the impact sites, but the shape may be different, the original shape may be different at that time.
 - Q. Okay. And back to Gregory, you think there's a likelihood or at least the possibility that his aorta or his inferior vena cava could have been severed?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. And the aorta is the main artery delivering oxygenated blood from the heart, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Am I saying that right, the interior vena cava?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

20

21

- Q. That does the reverse, right?
- 17 A. Correct. Going up.
- 18 Q. That brings the blood to the heart?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. So any one of those being severed would instantaneously cause death?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. And you cannot exclude that possibility, right?
- 25 A. Negative. No.

- Q. Now, what is what lay people call bleeding out? I think I have another term for it that you may recognize. Exsanguination?
 - A. Correct.

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did I say that right?
- 6 A. It's the same, yes.
 - Q. Okay. That's bleeding out pretty much, right?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Is it true that one doesn't have to lose all their blood in order to pass away from bleeding out?
- 12 A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. Maybe less than half could do it, right?
- 14 A. At least 30 percent you have of blood loss.
- 15 Q. At least 30 percent -- I'm sorry?
- 16 A. Thirty percent of blood loss.
 - Q. Okay. And could you pass out or be rendered unconscious when you loose less than that?
 - A. It depends, it depends on the person.
 - Q. And you don't have to have severing of a very big blood vessel like an aorta to die from exsanguination, correct?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. It could be any type of cut?
- 25 A. Correct. Yes.

- 1 Q. As long as the bleeding isn't controlled in 2 some way? 3 A. Correct. Like by use of a tunicate or something, right? 5 Yes. Α. 6 Now, with regard to Nicholas Leonard, you 7 testified to two incised type wounds on his left arm? 8 A. Correct. Yes. 9 Q. One was on the upper arm? 10 A. Yes. 11 The bicep area? Q. 12 Α. The lateral aspect. Correct. Yes. 13 Okay. And the upper forearm, correct? 14 the elbow? 15 Α. Correct. Yes. 16 Now, these wounds were definitely consistent 17 with knife wounds, correct? 18 Α. Correct. Yes. 19 Now, because of the decomposition, could you 20 tell if his brachial artery in that arm was severed? 21 Α. They were intact. I did not see any injuries 22 to the major arteries in that general location.
 - Q. And in both those wounds, at least in the

problem is it could be the smaller arteries that were

23

24

25

affected, I don't know.

photograph we saw that the State published, seemed 1 pretty deep, correct? 2 3 A. Correct. Yes. 4 Q. And we're looking at the arm of a person who's 5 very much decomposed, right? 6 Α. Yes. 7 So before decomposition, there would be more Q. 8 body weight? There would be dehydration, right? 9 That is correct. 10 Q. And I would gather that those wounds would 11 seem even deeper; is that correct? 12 It's possible. Possible, yes. Α. 13 And someone could bleed out from an injury 14 such as those two injuries, correct? 15 Α. By itself without considering the head trauma? 16 Correct. Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Does stress and muscle exertion cause one to Q. 19 bleed quicker or at greater rate? 20 Α. It depends what general injury we're talking 21 about. 22 Let's say if you're under the effects of 23 adrenaline, your heart is pounding?

And are we talking about the injuries to the

24

25

Α.

left upper extremity, right?

1 Q. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

- 2 A. It may, yes.
 - Q. Okay. On an injury consistent with the one that Nicholas Leonard had on his arm, those both injuries on his arm alone, if he was bleeding, could he fall unconscious in a matter of minutes if that wasn't controlled, the bleeding wasn't controlled?
 - A. If not controlled, it's possible, yes.
 - Q. And obviously if one bleeds enough, they fall unconscious before they actually expire, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, there's one injury you testified about on direct examination having to do with the left let's just say jaw?
 - A. Correct. Yes. Around here.
 - Q. On Nicholas, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It was the left side of his jaw?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. Would you agree with me that that wasn't a fatal wound?
 - A. In this particular case, no.
- Q. Okay. It wasn't the cutting of the throat?

 It was the jaw, right?
- 25 A. Correct. Yes.

And the jaw is protected at least internally 1 Q. 2 by the jawbone, right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And you described that wound as being an 5 incision-type wound, but in your report it does say that 6 there was an irregular edge to it, correct? 7 Correct. Due to the maggots effect. Α. 8 Q. Oh, I thought the maggots were more smooth on 9 a wound? 10 Α. Not necessarily. 11 Is it possible that this incisive looking-type Q. 12 wound could have been caused by something other than a 13 knife? 14 Α. Yes. 15 A glance from a hammer? 16 Very unlikely because a hammer -- we're 17 talking about the round portion of the hammer, right? 18 Not necessarily. It could be the edge or the Q. 19 back end? 20 Is that a sharp edge? Α. 21 Q. Yes. 22 Α. It can, yes.

Would that also be consistent perhaps with I'm

thinking of the particular injury you notate on the top

of Nicholas's head? It looked almost triangular, but it

23

24

almost appeared as if the scalp was cut a certain way. 1 Could that be caused by a hammer? 2 3 THE COURT: Do you know which number photograph we're talking about? 5 MR. MICHAILOS: I apologize, Judge. 6 you. 7 THE WITNESS: Now I need to see the picture. 8 MR. MICHAILOS: If I may approach the witness, 9 Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 (By Mr. Michailos) It is State's Exhibit 414. 12 Here you go, Doctor. That's the wound. 13 Oh, okay. Yes. Α. 14 Q. It looks like -- that's not a fracture wound, 15 it looks more like an external wound to the scalp, does 16 it not? 17 Α. That's correct. It is an incised-type injury. 18 Could that be caused from the glance by the 19 edge of the hammer? 20 As long as it's a sharper edge, yes. 21 Okay. Now, you notated and you testified to Q. 22 several skull fractures on Nicholas Leonard, correct? 23 Α. Correct. 24 And you testified that blunt trauma, blunt Q. 25 force trauma definitely occurred, right?

- 1 Α. Correct. Now, and we saw the photographs of several 2 3 Is it fair to say that you can't give a 4 number of blows caused from the number of fractures? 5 Α. I can, yes. 6 Are you doing so from the external appearance 7 of marks, right? You match it. 8 Α. 9 Ο. You match it. 10 But you would agree that one single blow can cause 11 several fractures? 12 That is correct, yes. 13 Because in this case we have an eggshell-type 14 effect, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And is it fair to say that you cannot, Doctor, Q. 17 tell the order the injuries occurred? 18 Α. The order, no. 19 Okay. So is it fair to say that Mr. Leonard 20 could have received a number of injuries after loss of 21 consciousness?
- 22 A. Possible, yes.

24

- Q. Is it possible that he could have received a number of these injuries after he had passed away?
- A. That's a tough one. Because what is the point

of hitting somebody at least 21 times if the person is dead already? So I don't get your point.

- Q. Well, would you agree with me that you think -- I'm asking you this from a medical perspective.
 - A. I'm sorry. Yes.

- Q. It sounds like you're taking off your medical hat and putting on your layman's hat.
 - A. I'm sorry. Yes.
- Q. So from a medical point of view, can you tell us? As far as motive and why somebody would a do that, that's I think ultimately something that can be decided later on.

But would you agree that medically that somebody could -- you couldn't tell by looking at the physical evidence that these blows occurred before as opposed to after death, correct?

- A. That's correct. Especially I have a decomposing body, it is so hard the tell the difference.
- Q. Right. And I would think the same is true for Margaret Brown, would you agree for Margaret?
 - A. The trauma first or the asphyxiation first?
- Q. I'm just talking about the trauma now. You don't know exactly what happened first in order, right?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. Now, with regard to Mr. Leonard, Nicholas

Leonard, like all the other individuals here, he had 1 2 decomposition, obviously? 3 A. Yes. And it is possible that he could have received 5 a gunshot wound, correct? 6 Mr. Leonard? I did an X-ray, a total body 7 X-ray, and I did not see any fragments, metallic 8 fragments in the body. So most likely not. 9 Ο. But it's possible? 10 It's possible, but most likely not. 11 And if he suffered a gunshot wound to the Q. 12 head, it would be more possible, right? Because there 13 was more decomposition to the head and with the 14 fractures, you couldn't actually show that one way or 15 the other, correct? 16 It's possible because there were missing parts 17 of the skull. 18 And when you examined these people, there was 19 no evidence that they had any disabilities before they 20 were injured? 21 I'm going to object, Judge. MR. LABRUZZO: 22 That calls for speculation as to how they were when 23 they were alive.

THE COURT: I'll let the Doctor indicate if he

knows? But I'm not exactly sure what question

24

1 you're asking the witness. (By Mr. Michailos) Could you tell from 2 3 examining the bodies whether or not these people had any 4 ailments or unhealthy before receiving these injuries? 5 Except for the dad. That's Case Number, let's Α. 6 see --7 Gregory Brown? Q. Correct. Forty-seven. He was the only one 8 Α. 9 with a previous back surgery, laminectomy. 10 Q. You saw evidence of that back surgery? 11 Correct. Yes. Α. 12 Did you find some metal rods or something? Q. 13 Α. I did not. No, there was no rods. 14 Q. Oh, but you saw healing? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Would you agree with me, Doctor, that a hammer Q. 17 blow to the head, if forceful enough, could cause 18 immediate loss of consciousness? 19 Α. It can, yes. 20 And clearly on the greater injury on Margaret Q. 21 Brown, that 6-centimeter injury, that would result in 22 immediate loss of consciousness? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And would you agree to go further and say it Q. 25 would probably cause instant death?

1	A.	Yes.			
2	Q.	Now, in Margaret's case, you have found a			
3	contributory condition of asphyxiation?				
4	A.	Correct. Yes.			
5	Q.	And I think your exact words is "That may have			
6	been a ca	ause of death"?			
7	A.	Correct. May have contributed to the cause of			
8	death.				
9	Q.	Okay. "May have." You can't go further than			
10	that, ric	ght? It's just a possibility, right?			
11	A.	Most likely scenario, yes.			
12	Q.	Okay. But would you agree with and you			
13	came to t	that conclusion simply because of the evidence			
14	of this bag over her head, correct?				
15	A.	And the tight ligatures and the duct tape over			
16	the mouth.				
17	Q.	Right. And by ligature, you mean the things			
18	used to t	tie her, which is tape?			
19	A.	Correct. And they're tight.			
20	Q.	Correct. Well, that's because you saw			
21	evidence	of indentation on her skin, right?			
22	A.	Yes.			
23	Q.	Could that ligature mark be from the swelling			

A. Possible. But very unlikely because it's all

of the body because of decomposition?

1 over the place.

- Q. Right. But the ligatures could have been applied postmortem, right, after death, correct?
- A. Possible. But you have the brain tissue inside.
- Q. Well, is it possible for brain matter to be inside the bag if the bag was put over a wound after the trauma?
- A. Well, there's a huge gaping injury to the left side.
 - Q. Right.
- A. So most likely what happened was the brain tissue came out from the skull after the injury, so not before the patient was dead.
- Q. Right. But it would matter how fast this bag was put over the head, right? Would you agree with me?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Okay. For instance, how about if a bag was put over Margaret -- over her head immediately after the blunt trauma force, immediately after, wouldn't the bag capture some of the brain matter?
 - A. Yes. It can, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you were examining this bag, you notated some brain matter, correct?
 - A. Yes. Most of the brain matter went there.

- Q. Okay. Well, by brain matter, you mean liquid, right?
 - A. Correct. Partially liquid.
 - Q. Would you agree with me that if somebody had hit somebody over the head after putting a bag over them, there would be no evidence of blood spatter?
 - A. I don't know anything about blood spatter.
 - Q. Okay. Well, blood spatter, you know what that is, right?
 - A. Correct. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So would you agree with me that if you cover somebody's head completely with a plastic bag, unless the bag is deteriorated and has holes in it, and then you hit them, no blood should escape that bag unless the bag is corrupted in some fashion or form?

 Would you agree with me?
 - A. Yes. It's contained.
 - Q. Excuse me?
 - A. It's contained inside, yes.
- 20 Q. It would be contained?
- 21 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- Q. And you wouldn't have any evidence of that because that wasn't your part of this examination, right?
- 25 A. That is correct.

Q. So would you agree with me that in drawing the
conclusion that asphyxiation was a contributory
condition in this case, you did so more from a layman's
point of view, the existence of bag and ligature marks,
not because you found any physical medical findings that
she suffocated?

- A. That's correct. And also on top of that, I have the duct tape over the face and mouth region.
- Q. Right. I understand. But you didn't find any physical findings that would show that she died from suffocation, correct?
 - A. No.

- Q. Nothing biological that you could point to?
- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. Simply the existence of tape over this bag?
- A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. And you used the term "incapacitated" in your direct. By that did you mean loss of consciousness when you said incapacitated?
 - A. And/or death.
 - Q. And/or death.

And would you agree with me that the time between each gunshot or between each traumatic blunt force is unknown to you?

A. That is correct.

And, Doctor, you're employed by who? 1 Q. 2 Excuse me? Α. 3 Who are you employed by? Q. By District Six Medical Examiner's Office. 4 Α. 5 Okay. And you routinely testify for the State Q. 6 Attorney's Office, right? 7 Α. Yes. And it's part of your job, correct? 8 Q. 9 Α. Correct. I testify for my cases. 10 Q. And you get paid for that, obviously? 11 It's part of my job. Α. No. 12 It's part of your job. Q. It's included in your job, right? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 You don't get paid separate for it? Q. 16 A. No. 17 Q. It's part of your salary? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Thank you, sir. 20 A. Thank you. 21 MR. MICHAILOS: Oh, actually, one second. 22 No further questions. Thank you, sir. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 MR. MICHAILOS: I appreciate it. 25 THE COURT: Redirect?

1 MR. LABRUZZO: One moment, Your Honor. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. LABRUZZO: Dr. Palma, as it specifically relates to the 4 Q. 5 injuries that Margaret Brown sustained, and there was 6 some discussion about the bag over the head and maybe 7 the order in which the bag was placed over the head. 8 Okay? 9 Based on your experience investigating this case, 10 the review of the evidence, and the crime scenes that 11 you went to both at the Medical Examiner's Office and at 12 the scene where the bodies were found, and based on your 13 years of experience as it relates to investigating and 14 performing autopsies, would that make sense that you 15 would cause such an injury to the head and then 16 immediately put a bag to cause such force that 17 asphyxiation could become a contributing factor? 18 Asphyxiation is a contributing factor, Α. 19 correct. Yes. 20 Right. Would that make sense to do that in a Q. 21 case like this? 22 MR. MICHAILOS: Objection, Your Honor. 23 Irrelevant. 24 THE COURT: Overruled. It's redirect based on 25 your questioning. So you may proceed.

1	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) So I guess what I'm asking				
2	you, Doctor, is that does it make sense to cause those				
3	injuries to the head of Ms. Margaret Brown, the injurie				
4	of which we've already gone through and discussed, and				
5	then to immediately place a bag on the head and put it				
6	on with such force, that it leaves evidence of				
7	asphyxiation?				
8	MR. MICHAILOS: Objection. Outside the scope				
9	of expertise.				
10	THE COURT: Overruled. He's the medical				
11	examiner, and he has years and years of				
12	expertise on forensic pathology, and this is part				
13	of his expertise, manner and cause of death. So				
14	overruled.				
15	MR. MICHAILOS: Can we approach?				
16	THE COURT: No. Overruled. You may proceed.				
17	Q. (By Mr. Labruzzo) All right. Dr. Palma, does				
18	it makes sense, based on all that you've seen and that				
19	you noticed, the injuries to the head and as to the				
20	evidence that was left by the bag and the items that				
21	were used to attach the bag to the head, does that make				
22	sense?				
23	A. No.				
24	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. No further questions.				
25	(EXCERPT CONCLUDED.)				

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

STATE	OF	FLORIDA	:
COUNTY	OE	PASCO	:

I, MARIA FORTNER, Registered Professional
Reporter for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, do hereby
certify that I was authorized to and did
stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
that the transcript is a true and correct record.

DATED this 15th day of November, 2017.

/S MARIA A. FORTNER

MARIA A. FORTNER, RPR